#### Validation of a Rapid Assessment Method for Determining the Condition of Floodplain Wetlands in Oklahoma



Anthony Thornton<sup>1</sup>, Craig Davis<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Dvorett<sup>2</sup>, Sarah Gallaway<sup>2</sup>, Brooks Tramell<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Oklahoma State University



<sup>2</sup> Oklahoma Conservation Commission





## Background

- An estimated 67% of Oklahoma's wetlands were lost between the 1780s and the 1980s
- More than 185,000 acres lost in US between 2004 and 2009
- 55% of wetlands in the Interior Plains (of which Oklahoma is a part) were in fair or poor condition as of 2011

#### Wetlands provide important services



HabitatFlood mitigationFiltrationAquifer rechargeDegradation reduces a wetlands ability to provide services

## Monitoring and Assessment



- No formal monitoring and assessment in Oklahoma
- Wetland Program Plan developed in 2013
- OKRAM
- Floodplain Wetlands

### Floodplain Wetlands



#### OKRAM

#### Stressor based assessment method

Hydrology – Hydroperiod, Water Source, Hydrologic Connectivity



Water Quality – Nutrients, Sediments, Contaminants, Buffer Filter



Biota – Vegetation, Habitat Connectivity



## Objectives

- Calibrate OKRAM for floodplain wetlands
  - 30 sites on two river channels
  - Landscape scale GIS data
  - intensive vegetation and soil survey
- Validate OKRAM
  - statewide
  - 50-60 sites
  - intensive vegetation and soil survey

## Study Area



Deep Fork of the Canadian River and the North Canadian River

#### Study Area

#### North Canadian River

#### Deep Fork of the Canadian River



#### Calibration

- Use a landscape development intensity index (LDI) to assign a rough condition score to each watershed within the two river systems
- Choose wetlands from each end of the condition spectrum
- Determine if the OKRAM is sufficiently capturing the difference between conditional extremes
- Conduct intensive biotic survey and compare to RAM scores

#### Landscape Development Intensity Index

Land Cover Type	Coef
Sandy Prairie/Pasture	0.4
Urban – High Intensity	0.0
Pasture/Prairie	0.8
Eastern Redcedar Woodland and Shrubland	0.4
Bottomland Hardwood Forest	1.0
Open Water	1.0

LDIs are developed by assigning coefficient values to landcover types and calculating a condition score based on the percentage of each type in the assessed area.

## Intensive biotic survey

• Intensive site assessment consisting of vegetation and soil sampling

- NWCA vegetation protocol
- Soil analysis for organic matter, nutrients, and texture





#### NWCA vegetation protocol





# Relationship between OKRAM and biotic assessment scores



Example from OKRAM validation in depressional wetlands

## Future Steps

#### Expected results

• Some metrics will need to be altered or removed to accurately reflect a wetlands condition score

#### Future Steps

Expected results

 LDI, OKRAM, and vegetation scores for each wetland should a compare in severity

## Future Steps

- Validation of OKRAM on 50 to 60 floodplain wetlands statewide.
- Assessments independently carried out by at least two technicians to verify repeatability



Acknowledgements

#### Bollenbach Endowed Chair in Wildlife Management

Technicians: J.D. McCoy Will Hurney

OSU Department of Natural Resource Ecology & Management







Funded by USEPA 104(b)(3) Wetlands Development Grant

# Questions?